

Rearsby NP Annex F ~ Regulation 14 comments – Rearsby Residents Responses (April 2017).

Specific Comments on the proposed maps and policies (sections 7&8)

No.	Plan section/policy number	Comments	From ~ Detail removed as required	Response	Proposed amendment
1	R6	Protection of green spaces and amenity land is vital to rural identity of the village. Should this be identified on the proposals map.		Add to Proposals Map	Addition.
2	R1	Zone of separation is very important to maintaining character and separate identity of the village		Agree	No update necessary
3	R5	I endorse the potential for expanding the employment area subject to criteria outlined.		Agree	No update necessary
4	4.1	Reduce the possibility of development of building on farmland.		Add policy on value of farmland	Value of agricultural land to be included
5	4.2	I have yet to see any evidence of the Borough Councils success in providing affordable homes in Rearsby for Rearsby residents.		Noted	No update necessary
6	CS11	This is a key policy and must be followed.		Update crodd ref to the Core Strategy	Cross reference CS11 to Rearsby Poilcy re ALS.
7	5.3	Although Rearsby has all these facilities there is still a need for a shop.		Include in R7 updated wording to include future desire for shop	Update R7 wording
8	4.4	Faster Broadband is a must		9.3 reference	Strengthen this as a priority project

9	R2	Limits to development, I completely agree small scale infill only.		Agree	No update necessary
10	8.2	Total Agreement		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
11	4.1,4.2,4.3	Totally agree		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
12	4.4	Faster broad band a must for small businesses.		9.3 reference	Strengthen policy wording
13	8.2	Absolutely crucial in maintaining Rearsby as a village,		Noted	No update necessary
14	R4	Support convent redevelopment proposal and transfer of amenity land to the village.		Noted	No update necessary
15	R3	Support site for affordable housing. Against large scale development		Noted	No update necessary
16	8.2 /8.3	Agree		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
17	Map One / 4.2	First potential exception site for future housing. The site falls within the Conservation Area. In Para 4.2 of the draft plan it states that "Green and natural open spaces like the Conker Field and surrounding fields need to be protected, as does the Conservation Area (and extended where possible) The site is currently a pleasant green open space partially surrounded by trees. It has views to open country which enhances the rural aspect of the village. The use of this site for		Add a policy on the Conservation Area	Scheme to have period cottage appearance to be in character with the surroundings and pay due regard to the Conservation Area.

		modern housing would appear to be in direct conflict with local priorities as stated in the plan. Furthermore the site is immediately adjacent to the old Rectory which is arguable one of the finest buildings in Rearsby. It would not be possible for a modern housing development to conserve or enhance the character of the area?			
18	7.1 / 8.4	The smaller sized units should be sited near Melton Road because their occupants are most likely to need the use of Public transport.		Agree	Update policy 7.1/8.4 to reflect this point.
19	8.10	Speed bumps should be awarded – chicanes, pinch points, with one way passage, priority signals and rumble strips are preferable.		Agree reword Policy re traffic.	Include aspiration in the Plan for appropriate traffic calming measures in the village.
20	8.2	Area of local separation – it is a must to keep the village identity separate from other villages.		Noted	No update necessary
21	8.3	Limits to development keep as currently defined.		Noted	No update necessary
22	8.2	Zone of Separation – If we joined up with Goscote we could in time become a town, and we want to remain a village.		Noted	No update necessary
23	R3	Housing Mix – In support of new housing happening on ‘exemption land’ and via community lead projects.		Agree and update.	Update to reflect desire for community led projects.

24	R3	Housing Mix – Redraft the policy to make the provisions and encouragements noted to be written as lettered sub clauses (like Policy R2) and given added emphasis.		Agree and update	Redraft policy to reflect this point.
25	4.1	I believe it is crucial that we maintain a separate and unique community for the future rather than an amalgamation of villages with no individualism		Agree	No update necessary
26	4.2/3	Open Spaces and the Character of the village are paramount for us and the next generation.		Agree	No update necessary
27	8.7	We must preserve the open spaces, encourage younger members of the community to enjoy them and perhaps consider continual enhancement with new planting etc.		Agree	No update necessary
28	8.10	We must continue to push the various authorities regarding traffic management, to reduce risk and improve surroundings.		Agree and update	Add policy re traffic calming see point 19
29	4.3	I agree with the importance of the upholding of the character of the village and the need for community control on the land.		Agree	No update necessary
30	8.2	I agree that a zone of separation is very important		Agree	No update necessary
31	8.3	I support this policy and wish to support any small scale		Agree	No update necessary

		development that meet this criteria.			
32	4.1	When the bypass was in its planning stage. There was a plan which closed the road from East Goscote to Rearsby and plant a wood between Broome Lane and Rearsby. Therefore, separating the two villages. I understand the road needs to stay open due to emergency services but if the original plan of a wood on the field originally suggested would perhaps have the desired effect of keeping Rearsby separate!		Agree and update	Redraft Policy to include the possibility of landscaping to enhance the Area of Local Separation
33	4.2/8.2/8.3	Continuous work will be needed to stop larger developments eg Jelsons. Planning re the Convent should be applauded. New housing should include modifications to limit smaller homes becoming much larger eg conversion of bungalows to houses.		Noted but the Core Strategy prevails.	This is not a Neighbourhood Plan thing.
34	8.1	I see no movement re cycle paths.		Refer to Parish Council	O/S
35	8.3	Agree entirely with comments of limits to development		Agree	No update necessary
36	8.4	Concede that there may be a need for some limited social housing but defiantly not 66 new houses on the attractive green space at present.		Agree	No update necessary

37	R6	Agree with all of the protection of green spaces etc and would consider any large development in these areas a death wish to the future of this village.		Agree	No update necessary
38	4	Any new development should not contain a large housing project.		Agree	No update necessary
39	7.1	Any new housing development should be small scale just to suit the needs of the community.		Agree	No update necessary
40	7.1	Public access to green and open spaces within and around the village needs to be protected. I fully agree and strongly urge this sentence to be proteted.		Agree	No update necessary
41	R1	Again I agree and support the policy to retain the zone of separation between Rearsby and East Goscote.		Agree	No update necessary

General Comments

No.	Plan section/policy number	Comments		Response	Proposed amendment
1	General	It is a through piece of work and excellently presented. All are to be congratulated. With regards to sections 7 & 8 concur with the suggestions.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
2	General	Support 100%		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
3	General	In agreement with the plan.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
4	General	I fully agree with the proposed plan		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
5	General	I fully agree with the proposed plan		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
6	General	Fully agree.		Notes	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
7	General	I agree with the proposal		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
8	General	I fully agree with the proposed Plan		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
9	General	I fully agree with the proposals within the plan		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
10	General	We are happy with the proposes Rearsby Neighbourhood Plan 2017-2028		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
11	General	It seems to be very concise and to the point. Easy to understand. It makes the case to keep our village still a village and not part of East Goscote / Syston etc.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.

12	General	I am in full agreement with the proposed Neighbourhood Plan. It seems like a positive way forward to take over more control of what happens within our village, particularly with reference to planning for housing developments etc.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
13	General	I agree with all aspects of the proposed Neighbourhood Plan and I think that it is a very positive step for the village of Rearsby.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
14	General	I fully support the vision and objectives of Rearsby Neighbourhood Plan as set out in the pre-submission draft March 2017		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
15	General	I fully support the vision and objectives of Rearsby Neighbourhood Plan as set out in the pre-submission draft March 2017.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
16	General	Congratulations – a clear comprehensive document, outlining the requirements of the village and its parishioners. I agree on all points and look forward to the implementation of the final plan.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
17	General	In total agreement with the Neighbourhood Plan, it is important to keep the area of separation and protect the village, heritage and landscape. Any large scale development of houses would detract from the village community and therefore it would be advisable to keep any development small and limit building		Agree	No update necessary

	<p>to integrate new home owners into the existing community. Rearsby thrives as a community with many activities available in the village for anyone wishing to participate. The older members of the population do benefit from having lived in the community. Their children mostly attended the village school and as the years passed acquaintances have been renewed. The prospect of a shop would need to run in conjunction with another business and the idea that it could run alongside a tea room seems favourable but it must be remembered that someone is investing money into the scheme and so will need support from the village. Not more than 50 years ago the village supported 3 shops but these became unprofitable with the advent of supermarkets and more village people owned cars. The transport system is good during the day but the last bus to Leicester is around 7.30pm and Melton at 6.45pm. Very few people travel on these buses so the likelihood of the bus company reinstating later services is not good. It would be difficult to live in the village without a car or access to private transport.. The Neighbourhood Plan has my total support and my thanks go to all</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

		those who have given their time to almost bring it to fruition.			
18	General	We both support the plan.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
19	General	We both support the plan.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
20	General	I am very happy with the draft plan and endorse the proposed policies, which I feel reflect the views of the village. In particular to maintain the rural character of the village and the zone of separation between East Goscote and Rearsby. There are potentially other areas for development within Rearsby, not identified on the map such as land down to the by-pass which would be more appropriate for development in Rearsby than sites such as land to the west of Melton Road, if in future it is identified that there is need for more housing in Rearsby. Bringing conker field into community ownership is important for retaining this land as amenity. I would be in favour of setting up a community organisation and bringing other sites into public ownership such as for pocket orchards.		Update Map	Potential area for development west of the Melton Road up to the by pass not considered currently as suitable by Charnwood so this has to be no update to the plan. The point re community led ownership should be included in a suitable policy and the NP updated.
21	General	Well thought out and constructed a fair representation of my hopes for the future.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.

22	General	Excellent document, very readable, agree with it all.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
23	General	We all agree at this address, all of the content set out in the Rearsby Neighbourhood Plan 2017-2018		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
24		We are in total support of the policies raised.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
25	General	Important the Parish remains a thriving rural community. Do not want to link Rearsby with East Goscote, Thrussington or Brooksby.		Agree	No update necessary
26	General	Excellent document very well written and thought out. Matched with my desires to see the village develop organically.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
27	General	Everything seems to have been covered, and we support all policies totally.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
28	General	In general the plan as drafted reflects my views very closely but with the comment below considered. The small sized units should be sited near Melton Road because their occupants are most likely to need the public transport		Agree	Wording of an exemption site policy to be updated.
29	General	Support Convent redevelopment and transfer of amenity land to village. Support retention of open green space in the centre of the village to keep rural character of the village.		Agree	No update necessary
30	General	Excellent Document agree with it all		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.

31	General	I wish to endorse the draft plan as set out in the document distributed to all addresses. I am cautious about the second paragraph at 8.4; is this easily reconciled with the first paragraph? Jelson will tell us that their grand scheme will deliver what is expressed in the second paragraph.		Update policy	Need to evidence the balanced housing stock and review the policy as drafted.
32	General	The plan was discussed as a family and I am keen for Rearsby to maintain its village feel.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
33	General	The Plan is great, it respects the residents wishes and we are thankful for being kept up to date.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
34	General	We were all really pleased with the Neighbourhood Plan and really thankful for all the hard work of the committee.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
35	General	In full support of the plan as drafted.		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
36	General	A well thought out through document that will allow Rearsby to grow whilst protecting the valued assets of Rearsby through the use of local green spaces and limits to development. I particularly want to see the field on the Melton Road protected from large scale development. This is a unique field in the village that offers fantastic views across the Wreake Valley and is enjoyed by many people as		Agree	Include a reference to the views across the Wreake valley from the village in a landscape policy.

		a wonderful footpath,. This view and footpath would be lost to future generations if building were allowed on this space and the village would be poorer without it.			
37	General	The Plan is consistent with Conserving rural villages, is essential to retaining a vital aspect of the English character and way of life. If the Jelson scheme is conceded this will be the 'thin edge of the wedge' and the rest of the Wreake valley is under the same dire threat. The existing Rearsby village development area is already maximised relative to area available, sustainability, traffic parking and flow and amenity. It is truly lamentable for a relatively strategic housing proposal to be imposed on a rural village of such distinct character and present viability. There is no reasonable case whatsoever for this proposed scale of new housing development, particularly with the loss of bus services along Station Road the railway station remaining closed. The proposed small scale development is supported. The plan is agreed and supported. On a more general, political note; local politicians (and maybe even MP's)		Agree	Include a policy re preservation of valuable agricultural land and suitable protection aspirations.

		<p>should consider carefully before allowing rural character and life to be swamped by wilful reckless expedient urban sprawl. Any release of agricultural land for this vandalism is absolutely indefensible, given that we import 2/3 of what we eat. The future of politicians may well be at stake? Developers will only carry out what politicians and planners allow! There is plenty of alternate 'Brownfield' urban alternative with existing services and sustainability without permanently destroying England's rural aspect and our ability to feed ourselves from our own agricultural resources.</p>			
38	General	<p>I must complement everyone who has worked tirelessly to put the plan together.</p>		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
39	General	<p>Thank you to all who have invested time and energy in producing the document. It is clear, comprehensive and readable and reflects the views of the village residents which I have heard voiced first hand. I'm happy with the plan and hope it is finalised swiftly so that the legal weight is given to the views of those that live in this rural village.</p>		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.

40	General	Thank you to all who have invested time and energy in producing the document. It is clear, comprehensive and readable and reflects the views of the village residents. I'm happy with the plan and hope it is finalised swiftly so that the legal weight is given to the views of those that live in this rural village		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
41	General	Thank you to all who have invested time and energy in producing the document. It is clear, comprehensive and readable and reflects the views of the village residents. I'm happy with the plan and hope it is finalised swiftly so that the legal weight is given to the views of those that live in this rural village		Noted	Thank you for your response, your support is appreciated.
42	General	I found the paperwork slightly confusing... Has Rearsby completed its quotient for the National housing plan?		Noted	Agree to speak to her personally about this matter. M Field
43	General	Any large development would overload the road, school, and health facilities and cause the village to lose its identity and character.		Agree	No update necessary
44	General	I agree with the objectives of this Rearsby Neighbourhood Plan to maintain its village character with no larger development that would join it with neighbouring villages.		Agree	No update necessary
45	General	I also agree with the priority projects in		Agree	No update necessary

		Section 9.3			

Appendices

No.	Plan section/policy number	Comments		Response	Proposed amendment
		None made			